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ABSTRACT
Objective: To determine whether sampled food blogs provide nutritionally balanced recipes.
Methods: Two entree recipes per season, per year (2010–2011) were selected from 6 highly ranked food blogs (n = 96). Food Processor Nutrition and Fitness software was used to analyze sodium, saturated fat, and energy content. Analysis was separated by protein type (vegetarian, poultry, red meat, and seafood).
Results: Recipes met energy recommendations but were excessive in saturated fat and sodium. Vegetarian and seafood recipes were significantly lower in risk nutrients compared with red meat and poultry recipes. Red meat recipes were not significantly different from poultry recipes for risk nutrients studied; poultry recipes were higher in sodium and energy compared with red meat recipes.
Conclusions and Implications: The public should be aware of the nutritional limitations of popular food blogs; dietitians could assist in modifying blog recipes for individuals and partner with bloggers to improve the nutritional profile of recipes.

INTRODUCTION

Dietitians and public health professionals often recommend cooking at home to help individuals reduce sodium, saturated fat, and total energy intake, but doing so successfully depends on access to a source of recipes that will assist in meeting targets for these risk-related nutrients.1 Increasingly, individuals are seeking recipes through Internet sources including food blogs.2 With the growing popularity of social media, dietitians and other public health professionals must become aware of the quality of recipe information that is available and commonly accessed on the Internet.

Despite recommendations that Americans limit saturated fat and sodium intake and balance total energy intake, national data suggest that Americans do not meet recommended targets.3,4,6 Frequent consumption of food away from home contributes to excess energy, fat, and sodium.7,8 However, data also suggest that intake of fat, energy, and sodium exceed recommended levels even for those who eat out less often.9 Complete understanding as to why those who eat more frequently at home exceed recommendations warrants further research. Hypothesized reasons include larger portions and higher frequency of snacking.9 One area that should be looked at more closely involves the virtual space in which consumers are accessing recipes, and the nutritional adequacy of those recipes.

According to a recent survey from BlogHer,10 the top female social media network, 89% of the US online population has used the Internet to find recipes, and those who do so place high importance on cooking dinner every night. With a growing number of individuals cooking at home compared with eating out, food blogs may markedly affect nutrient intake.11 Several factors may influence the nutritional content of recipes posted on food blog sites. The use of colorful photographs to attract readership may emphasize appearance over nutritional value. Popular bloggers may also have partnerships with food companies, which can influence the ingredients and therefore the nutritional value of the posted recipes. In this way, food blogs may serve as a mode of food advertising in which the reader’s degree of trust in the blog may have an important role in consumerism.2 The BlogHer study also found that 71% of young food blog readers aged 18–44 years have made purchases based on a blog’s recommendation.10 The purpose of this study was to determine whether sampled food blogs provide nutritionally balanced recipes for the public.

METHODS

The authors performed a content analysis to examine nutrient values of recipes found on popular food blogs. The Web site BlogRank12 was used to identify the top 6 food blogs relevant to the study. BlogRank collects data for approximately 20,000 blogs and uses an algorithm based on ranking factors including Rich Site Summary (RSS)
memberships, unique monthly visitors, search engine ranks, number of incoming links, pages per visit, and link-to-page ratio. The ultimate rank filter, which incorporates all of these factors, was used to determine blog popularity for the final selection. Blogs were required to have frequent postings and contain entrée recipes that could be generalized to the public, as opposed to blogs that cater to special diets such as gluten free or vegetarian. Table 1 provides a description of the food blogs analyzed for this study.

The recipe selection protocol specified 2 entrees per season per year for the years 2010 and 2011, for a total of 96 recipes representing the 6 blogs. Season was specified in 3-month increments as fall (September, October, and November), winter (December, January, and February), spring (March, April, and May), and summer (June, July, and August). Recipes were eligible for analysis if they were posted during the specified season and year, and if they were labeled “main course” or “entrée.” Recipes were selected chronologically as listed on the blog Web site to avoid selection bias. Recipes excluded from analysis included those labeled as beverages, desserts, and hors d’oeuvres. Blogs designed specifically for restricted diets were also excluded because of the potential for the special dietary needs to alter nutrient content for the nutrients of interest.

The authors analyzed a subset of 15 recipes to determine inter-rater reliability among the 3 coders. All blogs were represented in this subset. An acceptable level of agreement was set before analysis at a score of 0.90. An intra-class correlation score was calculated based on 6 nutrients (total calories, protein, total fat, cholesterol, saturated fat, and sodium) with an average score of 0.972, which confirmed high inter-rater agreement. Food Processor Nutrition and Fitness software (version 10.9.0, ESHA Research, Inc, Salem, OR, 2011) was used to calculate nutrient values in the recipes. If a specific ingredient could not be found in the Food Processor software, an online search was performed to determine the closest relative, which was entered in the code book and used by all coders to maintain consistency. Ambiguous measurements such as “pinch of salt” were quantified and compiled into an analysis protocol, also to ensure consistency.

The Food Processor program (database released: August 8, 2011) provided a complete list of nutrient information for the recipes. In addition to the nutrient analysis, the US Department of Agriculture’s MyPlate tool was selected within the Food Processor program to assess the nutrient breakdown compared with the 2010 Dietary Guidelines. The as-purchased weight of food and the edible portion weight were accounted for within the Food Processor program.

One-way analysis of variance tested variations between the dependent variables of calories, saturated fat, and sodium and the independent variables of blog, season, year, and protein type (meat, seafood, poultry, and vegetable). Analysis of variance tests were run independently for each category and all data were normally distributed as determined by Kolmogorov-Smirnov testing within the SPSS program (version 10.1, SPSS, Inc, Chicago, IL, 2012). Seafood recipes were initially left out of the analysis because of insufficient power as a result of the small sample size (n = 8) but were later included together with the vegetable recipes for a post hoc analysis of a pescatarian recipe category. Post hoc Tukey analysis was used when comparing ≥ 3 or more variables, such as the case with seasons and protein types, to investigate original relationships. In addition, overall nutrient means were calculated for each category and for the entire sample. Statistical significance was set at P < .05. All statistical tests were run using SPSS software.

Institutional review board review was not required for this study because human subjects were not involved, as per the US Department of Health and Human Services guidelines.

RESULTS

The final sample consisted of 96 recipes, 38% of which were classified as vegetarian (pasta, salads, soups/stews, casseroles, etc, without meat, but including dairy and egg); 33% of the recipes featured red meat as the protein source; 21% featured poultry; and 8% featured seafood in the form of either fish or shellfish. No significant differences were found for total calories, saturated fat, or sodium among the 6 blogs or the individual year categories.

Although no significant differences in calories or saturated fat were observed by season, significant differences were found for sodium across seasons (P = .04). Recipes posted from December to May contained 30% more sodium compared with recipes posted from June to November.
As shown in Table 2, significant differences were identified for risk-related nutrients across protein categories. The protein categories differed in mean number of calories ($P = .001$), saturated fat ($P < .001$), and sodium ($P < .001$), and all risk-related nutrients of interest were significantly lower in vegetarian recipes compared with red meat and poultry recipes. When seafood recipes were combined with the vegetarian category in post hoc analysis to create a pescatarian category, the mean number of calories ($P < .001$), saturated fat ($P = .001$), and sodium ($P < .001$) remained significantly lower compared with recipes that contained either red meat or poultry as the protein source.

As shown in Table 3, mean values for the risk-related nutrients of interest found that overall, the mean number of calories across all 96 blog recipes was within dietary guideline recommendations (516 vs 667 kcal recommended for one third of the daily value of a 2,000-kcal diet), whereas sodium and saturated fat exceeded recommendations (855 mg of sodium vs no more than 767 mg recommended, and 9.36 g saturated fat vs no more than 7 g recommended). This analysis did not take into account beverages, side dishes, and desserts, which could have contributed additional calories, sodium, and fat to the meal. Also, daily sodium and saturated fat targets could have been met with other meals throughout the day.

When protein categories were separated, vegetarian recipes were significantly lower in calories compared with red meat recipes (400 vs 592 kcal; $P = .01$), saturated fat (6.6 vs 11.7 g; $P = .012$), and sodium (605 vs 944 mg; $P = .014$). Vegetarian recipes were also significantly lower in calories compared with poultry recipes (400 vs 652 kcal; $P = .003$), saturated fat (6.6 vs 11.7 g; $P = .035$), and sodium (605 vs 1,252 mg; $P < .001$). Red meat recipes were not significantly different from poultry recipes in terms of the risk nutrients studied, although poultry recipes were higher in mean sodium and calorie content compared with red meat recipes.

### DISCUSSION

This study compared popular food blog entrée recipes with one third of the Dietary Reference Intakes similar to menu planning techniques used in the National School Lunch Program. Using this proportion, a meal should have included approximately 667 calories, 17 g protein, 100 g carbohydrate, 22 g fat, 8.3 g fiber, 6.7 g saturated fat, and 767 mg sodium. Compared with these standards, the overall mean nutrient content of these food blog recipes was acceptable in calories, low in carbohydrate and fiber, and high in saturated fat and sodium. Although the addition of side dishes, beverages, and other meal components may alter the nutrient content of the meal, potentially raising carbohydrate and fiber into the target range, sodium and saturated fat would continue to exceed recommendations for a meal featuring the analyzed entrée recipes.

To the knowledge of the researchers, this study was unique in its focus on online recipes from food

---

**Table 2. Nutrient Means of Food Blog Recipes and Recommended Percentage Based on Daily Value**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrients</th>
<th>All Recipes (n = 96)</th>
<th>Red Meat&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; Recipes (n = 32)</th>
<th>Seafood Recipes (n = 8)</th>
<th>Vegetable Recipes (n = 36)</th>
<th>Poultry&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; Recipes (n = 20)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calories, kcal</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calories, % Rcmd&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated fat, g</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated fat, % Rcmd</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (mg)</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>944</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>1,252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium, % Rcmd</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trans fatty acid, g</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein, g</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein, % Rcmd</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates, g</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates, % Rcmd</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary fiber, g</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary fiber, % Rcmd</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat, g</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat, % Rcmd</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omega 3 fatty acid, g</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omega 6 fatty acid, g</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rcmd indicates recommended.
<sup>a</sup>Red meat recipes included beef, pork, and rabbit; <sup>b</sup>Poultry recipes included chicken and turkey; <sup>c</sup>% Rcmd denotes the percentage of the recommended daily value for a given nutrient.
blogs. A key word search of the PubMed, Google Scholar, and Ovid databases revealed that no studies investigating recipes embedded within food blogs or otherwise posted on Internet sources. A limited number of similar studies, however, assessed the nutrient content of recipes distributed by television chefs. A 2012 study by Howard et al analyzed the nutritional content of 100 main meal recipes from 5 bestselling cookbooks authored by television chefs in the United Kingdom. The investigators found that the recipes were excessive in total energy, protein, fat, and saturated fat compared with World Health Organization recommendations. Although sodium complied with recommendations, the food industry in the United Kingdom adopted voluntary reduction of sodium in processed foods that included canned or jarred ingredients commonly used in recipes starting in 2006.

A 2010 study by Silva et al applied a healthy recipe index to 204 recipes featured on 2 Food Network shows and found that recipes were ranked as less than healthful by the index measure. Further analysis found that the recipes analyzed were excessive in energy, saturated fat, and sodium based on a 2,300-kcal diet.

In this study, poultry recipes were not significantly different in terms of saturated fat from red meat recipes and were high in sodium content. Post-analysis review to determine the main sources of saturated fat and sodium in the poultry recipes identified that half of the recipes either featured skin-on pieces or were poultry-based casserole dishes made with butter, cheese, and/or cream and other ingredients high in fat and sodium. Blog readers may be unaware of the unhealthy effects of adding these ingredients, and may instead assume that the dish is healthy because it features chicken or turkey. This study was a first step in determining the relationship between social media and nutrition. Future analysis should examine recipe search tools and popular recipe Web sites. Because of a limited sample, this study did not comprehensively investigate the nutrient content of seafood recipes. Further investigation may include an oversampling of seafood recipes to determine whether these recipes are nutritionally balanced or whether they contain excess amounts of risk-related nutrients.

### IMPLICATIONS FOR RESEARCH AND PRACTICE

This study revealed an opportunity for dietitians and public health professionals to improve recipes accessed on social media. Beyond helping individual clients modify recipes found on these sites, dietitians and public health professionals could address recipes posted online in a broader manner. Opportunities include encouraging more dietitians to write their own blogs. Dietitians may also partner with existing food blog authors to add more healthy options or to create alternative, healthy versions of each recipe using modified ingredients. Other possible interventions include designing a branded icon for labeling recipes that meet specific nutritional standards.

The sampled food blog recipes were on average acceptable in terms of total calories but excessive in terms of saturated fat and sodium. When analyzed by protein type, there were significant differences among vegetarian, poultry, and meat recipes; poultry and red meat recipes were significantly higher in sodium and saturated fat. Vegetarian recipes were lower in calories, saturated fat, and sodium amounts compared with other recipes.

### REFERENCES